

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/600,004	06/18/2003	Graham Edmund Kelly	7579.0015-01000	6037
22852	7590 04/13/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			DELACROIX MUI	IRHEI, CYBILLE
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20001-4413		1614	

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/600,004	KELLY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid	1614		
The MAILING DATE of this communicati				
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of the Month of the M	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repition.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAI	(30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 13 December 2004.			
	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for		rs, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,21,28-35 and 41-44</u> is/are	pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,21,28-35 and 41-44</u> is/are	rejected.	·		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		v the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreign priority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	119(a)-(d) or (f)		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	oreign priority under 55 G.C.C. §	113(4) (4) 51 (1).		
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		plication No.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	• •		
application from the International	· •	· ·		
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.		
Attachment(s)	□			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-</li> </ol>		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/600,004

Art Unit: 1614

## Detailed Action

1. Claims 1-18, 21, 28-35, 41-43, (44) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelly WO 93/23069 in view of Empie et al., 6,261,565 B1 (both references already of record in parent file) and Kelly 6,340,703.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Concerning new claim 44, this claim is also rejected along with claims 1-18, 21, 28-35, 41-43 for reasons given previously in the office action mailed June 17, 2004.

Additional comments regarding claim 44 are presented below.

## Response to Amendment(s)

The following is responsive to Applicant's amendment, declarations under 37 CFR 1.132 and terminal disclaimer received Dec. 13, 2004.

Claims 19-20, 22-27, 36-40 are cancelled. New claim 44 is added. Claims 1-18, 21, 28-35, 41-44 are currently pending.

The previous claim objection(s) set forth in paragraph 1 of the office action mailed June 17, 2004 is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment and the remarks contained therein.

The previous claim rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, set forth in paragraphs 2-4 of the office action mailed June 17, 2004, are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment and the remarks contained therein.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,004

Art Unit: 1614

The previous double patenting rejection over claims 6, 9, 14, 15 of USPN 6,340,703 set forth in the office action mailed June 17, 2004 is withdrawn in view of receipt and approval of the terminal disclaimer received Dec. 13, 2004.

However, applicant's arguments traversing the previous claim rejection under 35 USC 103(a) set forth in paragraph 7 of the office action mailed June 17, 2004 have been considered but are not found to be persuasive. Furthermore, applicants' declarations under 37 CFR 1.132 are in effective to remove the Kelly patent 6,340,703 as prior art.

Regarding the 132 declarations, the MPEP states, "when subject matter, disclosed but not claimed in a patent or application publication filed jointly by S and another, is claimed in a later application filed by S, the joint patent or application publication is a valid reference >under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e)< unless overcome by affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 or an unequivocal declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 by S that he/she conceived or invented the subject matter disclosed in the patent or application publication and relied on in the rejection. In re DeBaun, 687 F.2d 459, 214 USPQ 933 (CCPA 1982). Please see MPEP 715.01(a) and MPEP 716.10. Therefore, these declarations are ineffective to remove the Kelly patent 6,340,703 as prior art because the subject matter disclosed in the patent is also claimed.

Concerning applicant's arguments pertaining to the substance of the previous claim rejection under 35 USC 103(a), Applicant contends that neither the Kelly publication '069 nor the Empie '565 patent discloses or fairly suggests the claimed inventions. Both references fail to teach high proportions of formononetin. In fact,

Application/Control Number: 10/600,004 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

applicant argues that both references teach away from the claimed high proportions of formononetin. Moreover, Kelly '069 is silent with respect to the use of isoflavone compositions for treating bone maladies. Finally, although Empie mentions osteoporosis, it does not indicate whether isoflavones are responsible for the beneficial results against osteoporosis.

Said arguments have been considered but are not found to be persuasive.

The Examiner respectfully maintains that the combination of references renders obvious applicant's claims. Concerning the claimed ratios having a high proportion of formononetin as well as new claim 44, the Kelly patent discloses a method of treating osteoporosis in a patient by administering to the patient a composition containing an effective amount of (10:1 to 1:10) of formononetin and daidzein (please see claims 6, 9, 14, 15). Moreover, the Examiner respectfully maintains since Kelly '069 and '703, in particular, as well as Empie et al. have established that the therapeutic efficacy of the isoflavones is dependent upon their ratio amounts, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the methods and compositions of the prior art such that formononetin and biochanin or genistein or daidzein are present in a ratio that is effective to optimize their therapeutic activity.

The rejection is respectfully maintained.

## Conclusion

Claims 1-18, 21, 28-35, 41-44 are rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,004

Art Unit: 1614

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid** whose telephone number is **571-272-0572**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 8:30 to 6:00 as well as every other Friday from 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher Low**, can be reached on **571-272-0951**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

A41414